



Evaluation of Two Pre-Surgical Antisepsis Methods from the Perspective of Waste Generation and Process Optimization

S. Sánchez, Y. Reñones, A. Solé, S. Morell, T. Ruiz, M. Sánchez

This study is a collaboration between the Mutua Terrassa University Hospital (Catalonia, Spain) and BD

Introduction

Pre-surgical skin antisepsis is an essential intervention within the bundle of measures implemented to reduce the risk of surgical infections. This procedure aims to decrease the microbial load on the patient's skin, creating a safer environment and reducing postoperative complications.¹

Objective

The main objective of our study was to compare the traditional skin antisepsis method using bottles of antiseptics, with the ChloraPrep™ applicator in terms of:

- amount of waste generated
- Total time needed for skin preparation process



Material & Methods

Variables collected in the operating room:

- Age, gender, height, weight, area to be prepared
- List of components used in the preparation
- Total time spent on preparation (s')
- Weight of the material before use.
- Weight of the waste.

Traditional method:

A 250 ml bottle of chlorhexidine, a basin/galipot, forceps, gauze swabs, and paper towels for drying any excess.

A standardized measurement of the weight of disposable material was performed before and after the 25 surgeries.

Each material was weighed three times, and the average of the three weights was taken.

ChloraPrep™ method:

A ChloraPrep™ 26mL, ChloraPrep™ packaging, swabs after use, used ChloraPrep™ applicator

Study

The study was conducted at the Mutua Terrassa University Hospital, a tertiary care center with 17 operating rooms.

Since June 2020, the hospital has adhered to the Green Commitment, focusing on resource efficiency to reduce the environmental impact of its activities.

The study period was from February to April 2024.

The study population included 50 adult patients over 18 years old undergoing elective abdominal surgery.

The study group was divided into two cohorts: 25 patients with the current antisepsis method and 25 patients with ChloraPrep™.

In both groups, disinfection was performed with 2% alcoholic chlorhexidine applied using the Back & Forth technique.

Results

Average values on surgeries		
	Waste (g)	Time (s)
Chlorexidine (bottle)	75,04	98,67
ChloraPrep™	64,17	73,60
Saving w/ ChloraPrep™	10,88	25,07
	14,49%	25,41%

- The traditional method (chlorhexidine in bottle) generates 14,49% more waste than ChloraPrep™.
- Skin preparation time decreases by 25,41% using ChloraPrep™ vs traditional method.

Conclusion

Using ChloraPrep™ : Significant reduction in chlorhexidine waste, estimating an annual savings of 539 kg, as well as a decrease in surgical time by 105 hours.

The time saved could have a significant impact on operational efficiency and reduce the wear and tear on surgical equipment.

It is important to note that, given the limited size of our sample, it would be advisable to expand the study with a larger number of participants.

¹ WHO global guidelines for the prevention of surgical site infection 2018, section 4.7. Accessed at <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241550475> May 2025.